

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/564,586	BIESTER, KLAUS	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JOHN BASTIANELLI	3753	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) JOHN BASTIANELLI.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Collin A. Rose.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 July 2011

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*102 and 103*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 50*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Howard and Lange*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner phoned the applicant to discuss amending claim 1 with claim 50 (helix angle in range of 65-85 degrees) to place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner explained that Howard still was 102/103 to claim 1 as a circulation body is seen as 22, 21, and 20 and may be modified to enclose all the cited pieces to protect them. The examiner sees claim 50 as allowable as the examiner does not see a reason to modify one or both of the spur gears of Howard or Lange to have a helix angle of 65-85 degrees. The applicant agreed to the amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Bastianelli/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)